C/CAG

City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County

Item 5

Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay
• Hillsborough • Menlo Park • Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo
• San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside

Action Minutes for the July 26, 2007 C/CAG Airport Land Use Committee (ALUC) Special Meeting

1. Call to Order, Roll Call

Richard Newman, Aviation Representative and Committee Chairperson, called the Special Meeting of the C/CAG Airport Land Use Committee (ALUC) to order, at approximately 4:10 p.m. in the City Council Chambers, at Burlingame City Hall in Burlingame, California Dave Carbone, C/CAG Airport Land Use Committee (ALUC) staff called the roll. A quorum (at least 7 Regular Members) was present, as follows:

Regular Members Present
Cyril Bologoff, City of Brisbane

Ann Keighran, City of Burlingame

Judith Christensen, City of Daly City

Naomi Patridge, City of Half Moon Bay

Barbara Pierce, City of Redwood City

Ken Ibarra, City of San Bruno

Matt Grocott, City of San Carlos

Mark Church, County of San Mateo

Richard Newman, Aviation Representative

George Auld, Half Moon Bay Airport Pilots Association

Regular Members Absent

City of Foster City

City of Millbrae

City of South San Francisco

Airport Land Use Committee Staff

Dave Carbone, C/CAG Airport Land Use Committee (ALUC) staff

ALUC Chairperson: Richard Newman Aviation Representative ALUC Vice Chairperson: Naomi Patridge, Council Member City of Half Moon Bay Airport Land Use Committee (ALUC) Staff
Davld F. Carbone, Sr. Planner / Airport Environs Planning
County of San Mateo Planning & Bldg. Department

555 COUNTY CENTER, 5Th FLOOR, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063 - 650/599-1406 - 650/594-9980

139

Others Present James A. Castañeda, San Mateo County Planning Department Tom Passanisi, Redwood City Planning Blake Lyon, Redwood City Planning Mark Larson, County Airport Manger

2. Public Comment on Items Not on the Agenda

Comments / Concerns / Questions:

NONE

3^

Consideration of a referral from the City of Redwood City, re: request for a proposed Draft Peninsula Park Precise Plan, for a property on Bair Island Road, southeast of San Carlos Airport.

Comments / Concerns / Questions:

- Dave Carbone, ALUC staff, presented the staff report and highlighted the key sirport/land use compatibility issues and compared the project to a portion of an earlier (Marina Shores Village) project on the same site.
- Staff explained the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) determined, through an earlier analysis, that the height of the Marina Shores Village towers (240 and 260 feet) would not impact the navigable airspace in the vicinity of the San Carlos Airport. Staff noted the current Draft Peninsula Park Precise Plan proposes a building height lower (120 feet) than the towers proposed in the Marina Shores Village project.
- Staff indicated the staff report included a recommendation to required the project sponsor to submit the proposal to the FAA to determine the appropriate marking and lighting of the tallest buildings.
- Chairperson Newman asked staff to clarify the maximum height of the proposal. Staff indicated that the proposed height is indicated as 120 feet, aithough an exception for architectural features would allow for an additional 20 feet. The maximum potential height would be then 140 feet.

Item No. 3 - continued

- Staff pointed out the project site's location is outside the 55 dB CNEL noise contour boundary of the San Carlos Airport, and also indicated it's location on both the San Carlos Airport Noise Abatement Procedures Map and on an aircraft over flight map for a one-year period (September 2000 – September 2001) within the San Carlos Airport vicinity.
- Staff outlined the recommended conditions of approval, specifically the revisions to Conditions No. 3 and No. 4 which clarify the interior noise criterion level that would require an acoustical study for the proposed hotel and residential portion of the project site.
- Judith Christensen asked who would be responsible for funding for improvements to reduce noise within structures. Staff responded by explaining it would be the responsibility of the project sponsor to provide the funding for those improvements as required by the City of Redwood City.
- Barbara Pierce explained the area along Blair Island Road near the project site has been anticipated for residential uses and two multifamily uses already exist in the project area.
- Matt Grocott asked questions regarding aircraft approaches at night and during inclement weather conditions. In relation to the height and location of the project Mark Larson, County Airport Manager, addressed those questions.
- Matt Grocott raised questions regarding noise impact and mitigations measures to achieve the required 45 dB interior noise limit. Staff reiterated the proposed revisions to Conditions No. 3 and No. 4 to address Mr. Grocott's questions.
- Matt Grocott suggested that Condition No. 3 and No. 4 be modified to replace "to" to
 "shall" in the phrase "...to identify appropriate acoustic elements to be installed
 during construction..." to read "...to identify appropriate acoustic elements that shall
 be installed during construction...".
- Blake Lyon, planner with the City of Redwood City, gave a brief presentation of the project, outlining the various uses of the proposed plan, and indicated the City of Redwood City has no objections to the recommended conditions of approval.
- Ann Keighran asked for clarification of the total height. Blake Lyon responded by verifying that the building height limit would be 120 feet with a 20 foot exception for architectural features.

ACTION:

Mark Church MOVED approval of the staff recommendations with the conditions in the staff report. The motion was SECONDED by Barbara Pierce and CARRIED unanimously.

4. Information Item: Status of the process to select a consultant(s) to prepare a comprehensive update of the sirport/land use compatibility plan (CLUP) for the environs of San Francisco International Airport (80% funding provided by a federal grant).

Comments / Concerns / Questions:

- Dave Carbone provided a brief overview of the consultant selection process. He explained a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) and Request for Proposals (RFP) were prepared and distributed to a number of consultants. He noted four consultant teams were interviewed during the week of July 9, 2007. Evaluations are still in progress, and recommendations to C/CAG will be sent forward to their next meeting. Pending completion of contract negotiations with the selected consultant team, work is anticipated to begin in early to mid September. The selected consultant team will be present to meet with the ALUC at the next Regular Meeting.
- 5. Consideration/Adoption of the Action Minutes for the May 24, 2007 ALUC Meeting

Comments / Concerns / Questions:

Chairman Newman indicated and outlined a revision on page 2 of 5, Item No. 3, fourth bullet point, to include further details of the request to have Richard Napier explain his actions on the Downtown Precise Plan. The information proposed to be added concerned the preparation of a memorandum from Mr. Napier to the C/CAG Board of Directors which regard to the Redwood City Downtown Precise Plan.

ALUC committee with regard to the Redwood City Downtown Precise Plan.

ALUC were included in the staff report to C/CAG, but the memo from the Executive Director to the C/CAG Board urged that the original recommendations of the Director to the C/CAG Board urged the adoption of those recommendations without the request to the City of Redwood City for the consideration of the imposition of avigation essements for the parcels proposed for redevelopment in the Downtown avigation essements for the parcels proposed for redevelopment in the Downtown avigation essements for the parcels proposed for redevelopment in the Downtown avigation essements for the parcels proposed for redevelopment in the Downtown avigation essements for the parcels proposed for redevelopment in the Downtown avigation essements for the parcels proposed for redevelopment in the Downtown avigation essements for the Committee (ALUC) Regular Meeting).

Ken Ibarra MOVED adoption of the Action Minutes, as amended, for the May 24, 2007 ALUC Meeting. The motion was SECONDED by Mark Church and CARRIED unanimously.

ACTION:

6. Consideration/Adoption of the Action Minutes for the February 24, 2005 ALUC Meeting

Staff noted the tape for the February 24, 2005 meeting has been misplaced and therefore, minutes will not be prepared for the February 24, 2005 meeting.

7. Members Communications / Announcements

Comments / Concerns / Questions:

 Dave Carbone indicated that remodeling work may commence soon in the Burlingame City Hall Council Chambers where the ALUC meetings are currently held. He noted the next ALUC meeting may need to be held at another location.

8. ADJOURN

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 5:10 p.m.

Attachment:

Amended Action Minutes for the May 24, 2007 C/CAG Airport Land Use Committee (ALUC) Regular Meeting, re: Agenda Item No. 3

Amended Action Minutes for the May 24, 2007 C/CAG Airport Land Use Committee (ALUC) Regular Meeting

The following language will replace the fourth bullet point in Item No. 3 of the May 24, 2007 Regular Meeting minutes, approved as amended, at the July 26, 2007 ALUC Special Meeting.

- 3. Consideration of a referral from the City of Redwood City, re: request for a Comprehensive Airport Land Use Plan (CLUP) consistency review of the proposed North Main Street Precise Plan, in the vicinity of San Carlos Airport
 - Chairperson Newman asked Richard Napier, C/CAG Executive Director, to provide an update on the actions taken by C/CAG on the Downtown Precise Plan that was reviewed and discussed at the last ALUC Regular Meeting (February 22, 2007). Chairperson Newman requested the update for two reasons: 1) he felt that there were similar airport/land use compatibility issues between the Downtown Precise Plan and the North Main Street Precise Plan and 2) to make note of a memorandum, prepared by the C/CAG Executive Director, that did not include a request of the ALUC to have the City of Redwood City consider the imposition of avigation easements in favor of the County of San Mateo, as proprietor of the San Carlos Airport, for any parcels considered for redevelopment in the Downtown Precise Plan area.